HIW/18/81

Teignbridge Highways and Traffic Orders Committee 15 November 2018

Annual Local Waiting Restriction Programme

Report of the Chief Officer for Highways, Infrastructure Development and Waste

Please note that the following recommendations are subject to consideration and determination by the Committee before taking effect.

Recommendation: It is recommended that:

- (a) work on the annual waiting restrictions programme process for 2018/2019 is noted; and
- (b) the recommendations contained in Section 4 of this report and detailed in Appendices I and II to this report are agreed.

1. Background

Proposals for the Teignbridge HATOC Annual Waiting Restriction Review for 2018 were presented to this Committee on 26 July 2018.

2. Proposal

Agreed proposals have since been advertised with significant objections and comments having been received to 14 of the proposals.

Details of these proposals and the objections received are shown in Appendix I to this report with plans of the proposals shown in Appendix II.

3. Consultations

Following advertisement:

- Proposals which did not attract objections will be implemented without the need to report back to Committee.
- Proposals attracting significant objections are detailed in Appendices I and II to this report.

4. Specific Proposals and Recommendations

RECOMMENDATION - that the recommendations individually listed in Appendix I are agreed.

5. Financial Considerations

The total costs of the scheme are contained within a countywide budget of £100,000 which has been allocated from the On Street Parking Account.

There will be a cost to the Council in advertising a new Traffic Order for each Committee Area, this will be approximately £1,500. In addition, the costs of any changes to signing or lining will be attributed to that Order.

6. Environmental Impact Considerations

The proposals are intended to rationalise on street parking and improve mobility and access within the district and are designed to:

- Encourage turnover of on street parking to benefit residents and businesses.
- Enable enforcement to be undertaken efficiently.
- Encourage longer term visitors to use off street car parks.
- Encourage commuters to make more sustainable travel choices eg Car Share, Public Transport, Walking and Cycling.
- Assist pedestrians and other vulnerable road users in crossing the highway.

The Environmental effects of the scheme are therefore positive.

7. Equality Considerations

There are not considered to be any equality issues associated with the proposals. The impact will therefore be neutral.

8. Legal Considerations

The lawful implications and consequences of the proposal have been considered and taken into account in the preparation of this report.

When making a Traffic Regulation Order it is the County Council's responsibility to ensure that all relevant legislation is complied with. This includes Section 122 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 that states that it is the duty of a local authority, so far as practicable, secures the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of traffic and provision of parking facilities. It is considered that the proposals comply with Section 122 of the Act as they practically secure the safe and expeditious movement of traffic in the Teignbridge District.

9. Risk Management Considerations

There are thought to be no major safety issues arising from the proposals.

10. Public Health Impact

There is not considered to be any public health impact.

11. Reasons for Recommendations

The proposals rationalise existing parking arrangements within the Teignbridge District area by:

- Encouraging turnover of on street parking to benefit residents and businesses.
- Enabling enforcement to be undertaken efficiently.
- Encouraging longer term visitors to use off street car parks.
- Encouraging those working in the town make more sustainable travel choices eg Car Share, Public Transport, Walking and Cycling.

The proposals contribute to the safe and expeditious movement of traffic in the Teignbridge District and therefore comply with S 122 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984.

Meg Booth

Chief Officer for Highways, Infrastructure Development and Waste

Electoral Divisions: All in the Teignbridge District area

Local Government Act 1972: List of Background Papers

Contact for enquiries: Mike Jones
Room No: ABG Lucombe House
Tel No: 0132 383000

Background Paper	Date	File Ref.
None		

mj191018tnh sc/cr/Annual Local Waiting Restriction Programme 02 061118

Devon County Council (Various Roads, Teignbridge) (Waiting Restrictions) Amendment Order

Recommendation – Implement proposals as advertised.

Devon County Council Comment Response Plan ENV5671-009 Reynell Road & Westward Road, Ogwell 1 respondent - Resident of Westward Road Objection **Reason for Proposal** Extend existing and implement new lengths of 1 respondent objects to the proposals on the grounds that: The proposals do not extend far enough as discussed with No Waiting at any Time to improve visibility at Local Member. They are a joke! junctions. Proposals will not stop the accidents or stop the speeding Officer comments Reynell Road is a residential distributor road Four accidents down the hill and it is pure luck that no one and the implementation of excessive waiting has been killed. restrictions can lead to an increase in vehicle speeds. Suggestion Extent of yellow lines need to go from where they stop all No recorded injury collisions associated from the way around the bend. parked vehicles in area of proposals.

Plan ENV5671 – 012 Le Molay Littry Way & De Tracey Park, Bovey Tracey 1 respondent – Resident of De Tracey Park		
Reason for Proposal New lengths of No Waiting at Any Time to improve visibility when exiting junction.		
Officer Comments The proposed restrictions are to provide suitable protection for visibility at the junction.		
Concerns regarding the large shrub have been passed to the local Neighbourhood Highway Officers.		

Comment	Devon County Council Response
Plan ENV5671-020 Warren Road, Cockwood 1 respondent – Resident of Sherwells Close	
Objection	Reason for Proposal
 1 respondent objects to the proposals on the grounds that: No previous traffic issue, unsure why proposals are needed unless owner of Greystone Cottages does not 	New lengths of No Waiting at Any Time to prevent obstructive parking.
want vehicles parking close to property.	Officer comments
 Parking for Cockwood primary School is at a premium and proposals will take away safe parking area for parents. Parents will have to park further away from school. 	Proposals will prevent motorists from parking opposite junction and encourage parents to cross away from the junction.
Recommendation – Implement proposals as advertised.	

Comment Devon County Council Response

Plan ENV5671-021 East Cliff Road, Dawlish 3 respondents – Residents of East Cliff Road

Supports

3 respondents support the proposals to allow for safe exiting out of drive ways, however

Suggestions

- 2 respondents commented the restrictions need to be extended further up the hill past Outlook Court as view is restricted by large white vans parking along this section of road.
- 1 respondent commented that the restrictions need to be extended southwards (to the right) to the entrance of Highfield Cottage as large vans obscure the view coming up the hill.

Recommendation – Implement proposals as advertised.

Reason for Proposal

New length of No Waiting at Any Time to prevent obstructive parking for access into flats.

Officer comments

Support noted.

Proposals are to provide protection for the access points and full height kerbs between the access points on a minor collector residential road.

Comment Devon County Council Response

Plan ENV5671-022 Shutterton Lane, Dawlish Warren 3 respondents – Resident of Sherwells Close and Support Manager for residents of Shutterton Lane

Objection

1 respondent objects to the proposals on the grounds that:

- The proposal is unnecessary and heavy handed and discriminatory.
- Cars on occasion park in an obtrusive manner but are not parked dangerously, not affecting anyone's parking or affecting the flow of traffic.
- Some cars belong to carers and district nurses who visit residents at Shutterton Lane who need domiciliary care.
- No harm is being caused by the occasional parking on Shutterton Lane.

Supports

2 respondent supports the proposals on safety grounds for local residents, other road users and pedestrians but extent does not go far enough.

Suggestions

- 1 respondent commented on the northern side the lines should be extended to the entrance of property 'Briarhill' on the northern side.
- 1 respondent commented on the southern side the lines should be extend to five metres past the entrance of property 'Kairaki'.
- 1 respondent commented that the lines should be installed on the north and south sides adjacent to and opposite occupancy driveways as driveways have been blocked and emergency vehicle access has been restricted.
- 2 respondents commented that the extension of the proposals will prevent parking on both sides, allowing through traffic entering and leaving the lane to have a clear view of the junction, the cyclists and runners.
- 1 respondent commented in addition would like traffic calming and a reduced speed limit to 20mph to stop the 'speedy' short cut from Exeter Road.

Reason for Proposal

Extend No Waiting at Any Time to prevent inappropriate and obstructive parking.

Officer comments

The extent of the proposals seek to provide a compromise between providing junction protection and not implementing excessive restrictions on a residential road.

Any matters associated with obstructive parking should be referred to Devon & Cornwall Constabulary.

The Highways and Traffic Order Waiting Restriction programme is not used to implement speed restrictions.

Recommendation – Implement proposals as advertised.

Comment Devon County Council Response

Plan ENV5671 – 023 Exminster Hill, Exminster 2 respondents – Resident of Exminster Hill & Exminster Town Council

Supports

1 respondent supports the proposals relating to the parish.

- 1 respondent commented that the proposed parking restrictions will fall in line with promoting better observations through the junction.
- 1 respondent commented that their residence is on the junction and that their wall has been hit & repaired many times over the years by long vehicles trying to manoeuvre within the junction.
- 1 respondent commented from observations a parked vehicle on the corner by the brown sign/water hydrant actually prevents our property from collisions, as they have to go deeper into junction to turn properly.
- 1 respondent commented that the corner has eroded away over the years from vehicles cutting/turning in too soon and utility vehicles using the verge as a storage area.
- 1 respondent commented that drivers are turning into Exminster Hill too early and do not realise the corner is less than 90 degrees and are not lined up properly for the narrow road and having to stop suddenly for oncoming traffic.

Suggestion

 1 respondent commented that the corner needs to be 'beefed up' in order to make any driver work and show to negotiate it better.

Recommendation – Implement proposals as advertised.

Reason for Proposal

New length of No Waiting at Any Time to prevent inappropriate and obstructive parking at junction.

Officer Comments

The proposals will ensure that vehicles have suitable space to manoeuvre within the junction and protect visibility splays.

Comment	Devon County Council Response	
Plan ENV5671 – 024 to ENV5761-025 Main Road and Matford Mews 1 respondent –Exminster Town Council		
Supports Supports all the proposals relating to their Parish.	Reason for Proposal New lengths of No Waiting at Any Time to prevent inappropriate and obstructive parking at junctions and pinch points and improve visibility. Officer Comments	
Recommendation – Implement proposals as advertised.	Support noted.	

Comment Devon County Council Response

Plan ENV5671-026 Milbury Lane, Exminster

4 respondents – 2 Residents of Milbury Lane & Exminster Town Council

Comments

1 respondent indicates that:

- current parking arrangements offer a natural form of traffic calming.
- Increasing double yellow lines will cause unforeseen issue with displacement in village.

Supports

2 residents and Town Council support the proposal.

- 1 respondent commented whether the proposals will push the problem further down the lane. The proposals will solve one problem but will cause another in a different part of the lane.
- 1 respondent wanted it demonstrated that the suggested gap in the yellow lines would not obstruct access to their driveway.

Reason for Proposal

New lengths of No Waiting at Any Time to prevent inappropriate parking at pinch points.

Officer comments

Support noted.

There will be displacement of vehicles in the village and the onus is on the motorist to ensure that they park in a safe and legal manner.

Recommendation - Implement proposals as advertised and monitor the impact.

Comment

Devon County Council Response

Plan ENV5671-033 Berry Hill & Clanage Street, Bishopsteignton 1 respondent – Resident of Clanage Street

Objection

1 respondent objects to the proposals on the grounds that:

- Parking in Bishopsteignton is already difficult and reducing it further will not help the situation.
- Working unsociable shifts and having a disability, they rely on the on-road parking at Berry Hill as do not have a garage or driveway.

Reason for Proposal

Extend No Waiting at Any Time to prevent inappropriate and obstructive parking.

Officer comments

The fundamental purpose of the public highway is to allow vehicles to pass and repass, and these proposals are to emphasis areas where inappropriate parking can cause obstructions.

Recommendation – Implement proposals as advertised.

Comment

Devon County Council Response

Plan ENV5671-034 Fore Street, Bishopsteignton 2 respondents – Residents of Fore Street

Objection

2 respondents object to the proposals on the grounds that:

- 1 respondent commented the Post Office has very few deliveries and cannot see why a change is necessary.
- 1 respondent commented Post Office is not open on Saturday and no reason to restrict parking at this time.
- 1 respondent commented that parking is already severely restricted in the old village centre.
- 1 respondent commented that proposals will affect residents not just in Fore Street but surrounding streets too forcing residents to park further way.
- 1 respondent comments that the car parks in the middle of the village are always full.
- 1 respondent commented that the local business owners at Post Office and shop have said proposals are unnecessary.
- 1 respondent comments that the parking outside his house is taken by inhabitants of surrounding streets.

Suggestions

- One space is adequate for requirements of the loading bay. The loading bay does not need to extend for half of the bay.
- 1 respondent commented to consider allocating the loading bay opposite the Post Office with parking on either side as the road is no narrower here than other stretches along the road.
- 1 respondent commented by removing the pavement that leads to nowhere will create additional parking spaces.
- 1 respondent commented that the existing bay outside the shop would benefit from having lines to create individual bays to make it four bays to stop inconsiderate parking.

Reason for Proposal

Loading bay and amendment of limited waiting times to improve on-street parking for residents at weekends and provide loading facility.

Officer comments

The proposal provides additional on street capacity by providing unrestricted parking on a Sunday.

Comments regarding opening hours of Post Office noted.

There are no proposals to remove footways in the village to provide additional parking capacity.

Fore Street is Highway Maintained at Public Expense and if a vehicle is legally parked and not causing an obstruction then there are no restrictions on where it can be parked.

Recommendation - It is recommended that a site visit should be arranged and that a decision on the matter should be delegated to the Chief Officer for Highways, Infrastructure, Development & Waste in consultation with the Local Member and Chair.

Comment	Devon County Council Response

Plan ENV5671-036 Littlefield, Bishopsteignton 2 respondents – Residents of Littlefield

Objection

1 respondent objects to the proposals on the grounds that:

- Vehicles are pushed up Littlefield which severely narrows the road for larger vehicles including waste collection and delivery lorries.
- Transferring the problem further up Littlefield would restrict access to our property. Our garden borders the road (garden is hedged and terraced) and is difficult to reach by stepladders when vehicles are parked on the pavement against our boundary walls and in front of the gateway.

Support

1 respondent supports the proposals but thinks they do not go far enough on the grounds that:

- Dangerous to exit to the right from Littlefield as one is forced to turn into the oncoming traffic as cars are parked on the far carriageway opposite limiting visibility.
- Large vehicles (refuse and delivery lorries) turning into Littlefield often reverse up the road as they cannot swing into the road from either direction.
- Cars park on the pavement forcing pedestrians to walk in the road to pass the cars.
- Fore Street is narrow outside West Town Meadows and larger vehicles mount the pavement to get pass the parked cars (some at great speed).

Suggestion:

 Requests that no parking or waiting is permitted opposite the end of Littlefield where it joins Fore Street.

Recommendation – Implement proposals as advertised.

Reason for Proposal

No Waiting at Any Time to prevent inappropriate parking.

Officer comments

The proposed restriction is to provide protection at the junction of Littlefield and Forder Lane to allow vehicles to manoeuvre. The omission of restrictions further along Littlefield does not remove the responsibility of motorists to park in safe and legal manner.

Comment

Devon County Council Response

Plan ENV5671-041 Hamilton Drive & Nelson Place, Newton Abbot 3 respondents – Residents of Hamilton Drive (1 submission includes 52 signatures)

Objection

3 respondents object to the proposals on the grounds that:

- Keeping on adding double yellow lines without providing sufficient car parking will move the issue around the estate.
- Number of houses have more than 2 cars in household and utilise the on-road parking.
- If people are sensible, there is no reason why cars cannot be parked at these locations safely and without causing an obstruction.
- 1 respondent commented that the proposals will not resolve the issue of not enough free or reasonably priced parking at this end of town for employees & visitors to the local hospital and the local industrial units around Jetty Marsh Road.
- 1 respondent commented that people also park here to utilise the cycle path in the local area.
- 2 respondents commented that although the parking spaces are not a given, they fulfil the needs of those living on the estate and has no impact on the rest of the estate.
- 1 respondent commented that the road is wide enough to accommodate parking down one side and is no different to many other streets within Newton Abbot.
- 2 respondents commented that there have been no recorded incidences involving vehicles or pedestrians at this location since the estate was built.
- 1 respondent commented that removal of parking will increase the speeds at that location

Suggestions

- 1 respondent commented that there are pieces of land in the area that would make excellent car parks.
- 1 respondent urged the Council to invest in sufficient affordable parking to overcome this issue.
- 1 respondent commented that education and control is a better way forward than removal of parking – perhaps limit parking to one side of road.
- 1 respondent suggested making Hamilton Drive one-way street. This would not affect access to estate and that the perceived risk around the parked cars would be eradicated.
- 2 respondents commented that DCC would be best putting effort into the speeding issue on Jetty Marsh Road.

Reason for Proposal

No Waiting at Any Time to prevent inappropriate parking and improve visibility

Officer comments

It is not the responsibility of the Highway Authority to provide car parks.

It is noted that there have been no recorded collisions in Hamilton Drive and Nelson Place. Large one-way systems can lead to an increase in vehicle speeds

Comments noted regarding parking pressures in area.

Recommendation – Not proceed with the proposed restrictions.

Comment	Devon County Council Response	
Plan ENV5671-052 Stapleford Drive & New Road, Teignmouth 1 respondent – Resident of Stapleford Drive		
Supports	Reason for Proposal	
1 respondent supports the proposal.	No Waiting at Any Time to prevent inappropriate and obstructive parking.	
1 respondent commented that all the residents appreciated the proposals to alleviate the inappropriate and obstructive vehicle parking by non Stapleford Drive Residents.	Officer comments Support noted.	
Suggestion Residents request that the proposals are extended on both sides of Stapleford Drive to the dropped kerb by the gates to No.2 Stapleford Drive.	With the alignment of the road it is not felt that additional restrictions required for visibility splays.	

Recommendation – Implement proposals as advertised.

Appendix II To HIW/18/81



























